

## **National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd**

1<sup>st</sup> Minutes of Meetings of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for First Stage Evaluation, held at NHIDCL New Delhi on 10.12.2018 at 3:00 PM for Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of:

- (i) Up-gradation to 4-lane with Paved Shoulder of Jammu-Akhnoor road section of NH-144A from Canal head (Km 0.800) to Ganesh Vihar (Km 6.000) of 5.2 Km length
- (ii) Up-gradation to 4-lane with Paved Shoulder of Jammu-Akhnoor road section of NH-144A from Ganesh Vihar (Km 6.000) to Hanuman Chowk (Km 30.000) of 24 Km length in the state of Jammu and Kashmir to be executed on EPC basis.
- (iii) Widening and Up-gradation to 2 lane with paved shoulder configuration and geometric improvement from km 0.000 to km 16.990 on Chenani - Sudhmahadev section of NH-244 in the state of Jammu and Kashmir to be executed on EPC basis.

Having opened the Technical bids on 20.11.2018, the Committee (ETEC) met on 10.12.2018 to undertake the First Stage of Evaluation.

2. The Committee noted in the first instance that the evaluation done on the INFRACON Portal and the evaluation report thereof qualifies all the 10 bidders based on their uploaded data. The ETEC undertook the further verification of the INFRACON results and observed the following in respect of each proposal:

### **2.1 M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited**

As per clause 4.3 (ii) of Section 2, *for participating in the tender, the authorized signatory holding Power of Attorney shall be the Digital Signatory. In case the authorized signatory holding Power of Attorney and Digital Signatory are not the same, the bid shall be considered non-responsive.* The Committee noted that for M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited the name of person holding POA is **V.S. Vats**, and the person holding DSC is **Virender Singh**. As it was observed the name of the person in DSC and the person holding POA are different, the firm was considered non-responsive as per the above clause.

### **2.2 M/s Redecon (India) Pvt Ltd**

The proposal was examined vis-à-vis the INFRACON Portal results and firm has passed the proof of eligibility and is therefore eligible for the second stage evaluation. The details are placed at **Annexure-I of Appendix-I.**

### **2.3 M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited**

The proposal was examined vis-à-vis the INFRACON Portal results and firm has passed the proof of eligibility and is therefore eligible for the second stage evaluation. The details are placed at **Annexure-II of Appendix-I.**

### **2.4 M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd**

The proposal was examined vis-à-vis the INFRACON Portal results and firm has passed the proof of eligibility and is therefore eligible for the second stage evaluation. The details are placed at **Annexure-III of Appendix-I.**

**2.5 M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. In Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd.**

The proposal was examined vis-à-vis the INFRACON Portal results and firm has passed the proof of eligibility and is therefore eligible for the second stage evaluation. The details are placed at Annexure-IV of Appendix-I.

**2.6 M/s Stup Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In Association with Transtek Engineers & Services Pvt. Ltd**

As per clause 4.3 (ii) of Section 2, the Committee noted that in case of M/s Stup Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In Association with Transtek Engineers & Services Pvt. Ltd, the name of person holding POA is A. Guha Sircar and the person holding DSC is Ashim Sircar Guha. As it was observed the name of the person in DSC and the person holding POA are different. Hence the proposal of the firm was thus considered non-responsive as per the above clause.

**2.7 M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited In Association with Casta Engineers Pvt Ltd**

The proposal was examined vis-à-vis the INFRACON Portal results and firm has passed the proof of eligibility and is therefore eligible for the second stage evaluation. The details are placed at Annexure-V of Appendix-I.

**2.8 M/s Indian Tecnocrat Limited In Association with KS infra consultants (LLP)**

In the detailed evaluation it was observed by the ETEC that the firm has failed to qualify in terms of the *Minimum Experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of 2/4/6 Laning/Bridge Project of aggregate length equals to 3 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.* ETEC observed that the total no of projects available in moved data of INFRACON Portal by the firm are 46 nos. INFRACON had considered 11 projects under the experience of DPR preparation and 13 projects under supervision experience. The projects those were not considered, were either not executed during the last seven year or were not eligible projects. On further verification, the Committee observed that in some projects, completion certificates were not furnished. Accordingly, the experience in preparation of DPR, Construction Supervision was revised as per the actual experience with completion certificates and the detailed evaluation is attached in Annexure-VI. Thus, the modified length under supervision becomes 0 km due to which the firm fails to pass the 1st Stage Test of Proof of Eligibility.

**2.9 M/s Yongma Engineering Co Ltd In Association with Manglam Associates**

The proposal was examined vis-à-vis the INFRACON Portal results and firm has passed the proof of eligibility and is therefore eligible for the second stage evaluation. The details are placed at Annexure-VII of Appendix-I.

**2.10 Marc Technocrats Private Limited In Association with Canvas Consultancy**

In the detailed evaluation it was observed by the ETEC that the firm has failed to qualify in terms of the *Minimum Experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Authority's Engineer of 2/4/6 Laning/Bridge Project of aggregate length equals to 3 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.* ETEC observed that the total no

② M/s L/h

W ✓ Osh



of projects available in moved data of INFRACON Portal by the firm are 25 nos. INFRACON had considered 12 projects under the experience of DPR preparation and 9 projects under supervision experience. The projects those were not considered, were either not executed during the last seven year or were not eligible projects. The experience in preparation of DPR, Construction Supervision was revised as per the actual experience with completion certificates and the detailed evaluation is attached in **Annexure-VIII**. After manual evaluation it was noticed that only 5 projects qualify for the project supervision and 11 for DPR Preparation. The same were therefore considered. Thus, the modified length under supervision becomes 122.78 km due to which the firm fails to pass the 1<sup>st</sup> Stage Test of Proof of Eligibility.

3. During detailed cross evaluation it was observed that, the results of INFRACON & manual evaluation the result varies vastly. Hence, only manual evaluation results shall be considered during the next stage of bidding process.

4. In the Bank Guarantee submitted towards bid security for the following firms were found not as per the format of RFP:

- a. M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. In Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd. &
- b. M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited,

The committee observed that, their BG's are operable at Gurgaon instead of New Delhi.

4.1 In the BG submitted towards bid security by M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited In Association with Canvas Consultancy, the last paragraph was found to be missing.


The Committee recommends that appropriate amendments to the BG issued towards Bid Security be requested from the respective bidders.

5. The Committee after due deliberation recommended that the following firms are eligible/not eligible for the second stage of bid evaluation:

S. No.	Name of the Applicants	Status of Eligibility
1.	M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited	Non-Responsive
2.	M/s REDECON (INDIA) PVT LTD	Eligible
3.	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited	Eligible
4.	M/s RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD.	Eligible
5.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. In Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible
6.	M/s Stup Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In Association with Transtek Engineers & Services Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive
7.	M/s SA INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED In Association with Casta Engineers Pvt Ltd	Eligible
8.	M/s Indian Tecnocrat Limited In Association with KS INFRA CONSULTANTS(LLP)	Not Eligible
9.	M/s YONGMA ENGINEERING CO LTD In Association with Manglam Associates	Eligible
10.	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited In Association with Canvas Consultancy	Not Eligible

6. The Committee further recommended that the results of First Stage be made available on the website giving opportunity to the bidders to respond within 7 working days with the approval of the Competent Authority.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

  
Pradeep Sharma, GM (T)  
( Member Secretary )

  
Adil Singh, GM (Tech.)  
(Member)

  
Uttam Chatterjee, DGM (Fin.)  
(Member)

  
Sanjeev Malik, ED-III  
(Convenor)